Chapter 6. Assessment and Evaluation Methods in Advanced Practice Nursing Education

Susanne Friis Søndergård, PhD, Professor, Master and Further Education, Lovisenberg Diaconal University College, Norway
Hanna Hopia, PhD, Principal Lecturer, Associate Professor, School of Health and Social Studies, JAMK University of Applied Sciences, Finland
Josefin Bäckström, PhD, Associate Professor, Senior Lecturer, Department of Health Care Sciences, Marie Cederschiöld University, Sweden

Introduction

Assessment is central to APN education, as it bridges academic learning and clinical practice. Unlike undergraduate programmes that often assess discrete skills or knowledge, APN assessment must capture the integration of theory, advanced clinical judgement, leadership, and person-centred decision-making. Assessment not only measures learning but actively shapes it. This is particularly evident in APN education, where students develop as autonomous and reflective practitioners through ongoing feedback, self-evaluation and critical reflection on their readiness for advanced roles. This chapter outlines key theoretical principles of assessment, including validity, reliability, and fairness, and presents three core approaches to evaluating competence in APN education: self-assessment, peer assessment, and instructor assessment. These perspectives underpin both formative and summative practices, which are explored alongside the use of rubrics as tools for promoting transparency, consistency, and meaningful educational dialogue.

Keywords: competency-based assessment, clinical reasoning, formative and summative assessment, feedback and reflective practice, assessment criteria

Foundations of Assessment in Advanced Practice Nursing Education

Assessment in professional education cannot be understood solely as a technical exercise. Instead, as Messick (1995) argues, validity involves a holistic consideration of the interpretations and decisions that stem from assessment results. In APN degree programmes, where learning outcomes extend across clinical reasoning, leadership, safety, and collaboration, assessments must allow students to demonstrate complex, real-world competence.

Reliability, although sometimes misunderstood as requiring rigid standardisation, is better conceptualised as dependable consistency in evaluator judgements. Rubrics, assessor training and shared calibration discussions are therefore crucial tools in APN education. They help ensure that different educators recognise competence in similar ways and apply criteria consistently, which supports fairness for students across cohorts and clinical contexts. Fairness is, in fact, an important element in APN education and equally essential to other core components. The CALOHEE Project Group (2023) emphasises that fair assessment should be transparent, allow students to understand expectations and provide equitable opportunities for success. This aligns

with broader educational research showing that clarity around criteria increases student confidence and reduces anxiety, particularly in high-stakes situations (Sadler, 1989). The complexity of APN competence is well illustrated by Miller's (1990) Pyramid: assessment in APN education must, at a minimum, reach the upper layers, demonstrating advanced reasoning in structured simulations ("shows how") and performing effectively in real clinical environments ("does"). Because learning is cumulative, assessment cannot rely on one method alone. A coherent system must ensure that knowledge, application, performance, and reflection are evaluated in a balanced manner.

Core Approaches to Assessing Competence

- **Self-Assessment:** Self-assessment is foundational in APN education because advanced practice requires metacognitive insight: the ability to monitor one's own reasoning, recognise limitations, and make informed decisions about further learning. Schön's (1983) concept of the reflective practitioner highlights that professional expertise rests as much on thoughtful self-evaluation as on technical skill. Validated tools, such as the Nursing Professional Competence (NPC) Scale (Meretoja et al., 2004; Nilsson et al., 2018), support structured self-assessment, but even with such frameworks, research consistently shows that students may misjudge their competence, sometimes overestimating, at other times underestimating (Forsman et al., 2020). Yet this imperfection does not weaken its educational value. Rather, self-assessment is a developmental tool that helps students identify gaps, articulate learning needs, and become more proactive in shaping their clinical learning pathways. In practice, self-assessment is enriched through reflective journals, structured debriefing after simulation, and dialogues where students compare their self-ratings with educator evaluations. This comparison process often deepens insight and builds the evaluative judgement that is essential for APN roles.
- **Peer Assessment:** Peer assessment complements self-assessment by positioning learning within a social and collaborative context. Drawing on Wenger's (1998) theory of communities of practice, peer assessment fosters a shared understanding of what constitutes advanced nursing competence. When students evaluate each other's case analyses, communication strategies, or simulation performances, they engage in dialogue about clinical reasoning and evidence-based practice, thereby strengthening their conceptual grasp of professional standards. Research supports the pedagogical benefits of peer assessment. Burgess et al. (2021), for example, demonstrated how peer assessment enhances feedback literacy, while Wu and Schunn (2020) show that giving and receiving peer feedback improves performance and clarifies expectations. Peer assessment also provides exposure to diverse approaches to complex problems, which is particularly valuable in APN programmes where clinical presentations are rarely straightforward. However, peer assessment must be carefully structured to ensure fairness and educational value. Students need clear criteria, guidance on constructive feedback, and reassurance that peer

assessment is intended to support learning rather than to penalise. When these elements are present, peer assessment becomes an empowering method that deepens students' understanding of their growing professional identity.

- **Instructor Assessment**: Instructor assessment remains indispensable, especially when evaluating the most complex dimensions of advanced clinical practice. Experienced APN educators bring professional insight that allows them to interpret nuanced behaviours, subtle shifts in clinical reasoning, ethical prioritisation, and interpersonal leadership, that students or peers may not yet be equipped to recognise. Instructor assessment is particularly important in summative contexts such as clinical placements or structured evaluations of advanced skills. Evidence from workplace-based assessment research (e.g., Dong et al., 2024) emphasises that expert narrative feedback, delivered over multiple encounters, provides a rich and trustworthy indicator of student performance. Rather than standing alone, instructor assessment completes a triadic evaluation model. Together with self- and peer assessment, it helps provide a holistic view of student competence that supports both fairness and pedagogical depth.

Formative Assessment in Advanced Practice Nursing Education

Formative assessment is central to APN education because it supports students' evolving ability to synthesise theory and practice. Black and Wiliam (1998) argue that formative assessment has some of the strongest evidence for improving student achievement across educational levels, and this holds especially true in health professions education, where learning is iterative and reflective.

Feedback lies at the heart of formative assessment. Sadler (1989) explains that effective feedback requires three conditions: students must understand the performance criteria, be able to compare their work with these criteria, and be guided in how to close the gap. APN educators, therefore, need to articulate expectations clearly, use rubrics consistently, and offer feedback that prompts critical thinking rather than simple correction. Simulation serves as an ideal context for formative learning because it allows students to experiment with advanced decision-making in a risk-free environment. Debriefing, as demonstrated by Lindberg and Fridh (2021), is where the most meaningful learning occurs, enabling students to examine their thought processes, engage in guided reflection, and explore alternative interpretations of clinical situations.

Self-reflection further strengthens formative learning. Teaching methods like reflective writing, guided prompts, or learning portfolios enable students to integrate cognitive, emotional, and ethical dimensions of practice. Young (2018) and Chen (2023) support the notion that structured reflection enhances motivation, deepens understanding, and strengthens professional identity. Peer feedback is another strong formative method. Through discussing each other's work, students learn to articulate standards, negotiate meaning, and develop evaluative judgement. When supported by rubrics, peer assessment becomes a powerful tool for developing shared professional norms.

Summative Assessment in Advanced Practice Nursing Education

Summative assessment evaluates students' learning at the end of a course or module. While sometimes perceived primarily as high-stakes and restrictive, summative assessment plays an essential role in ensuring students meet required professional standards and that APN degree programmes demonstrate accountability and quality.

- **Written examinations and objective tests:** Assess foundational knowledge at the "knows" and "knows how" levels (Miller, 1990). While important, these methods should not dominate assessment design, as they may risk privileging memorisation over reasoning.
- **Performance-based assessments:** Include clinical evaluations and OSCEs and assess higher-order competencies. Harden (2016) notes that, when well designed, OSCEs provide structured and reliable opportunities for students to demonstrate clinical reasoning, procedural skills, and communication. However, given their resource intensity and potential to cause stress, OSCEs should be used judiciously and balanced with richer, narrative-based evaluations (for further information on OSCEs, see Chapter 5). Supervisor-led assessments during clinical placements remain crucial, as they capture performance in authentic contexts at the highest level of Miller's pyramid ("does"). These assessments should be supported by clear criteria, shared expectations, and educator training to ensure fairness.

Rubrics as a Foundation for High-Quality Assessment

Rubrics are increasingly seen as essential tools in both formative and summative assessment. They are structured as a framework that clearly defines the criteria and performance levels used to evaluate student work. According to Brookhart (2013), rubrics serve as roadmaps that make performance expectations visible and help students understand how their work will be evaluated. In APN education, where competencies are complex and multifaceted, rubrics bring clarity and structure that support both assessor consistency and student learning. Rubrics enhance validity by aligning criteria with learning outcomes and performance standards. They improve reliability by ensuring consistent judgements across assessors, particularly when multiple educators evaluate the same performance. When shared with students early, rubrics promote transparency and empower learners to take ownership of their progress.

In formative contexts, rubrics function as learning tools. Students use them to self-assess, plan improvements, and engage in richer dialogues with peers and educators. In summative contexts, rubrics provide defensible, structured frameworks for high-stakes decisions. Overall, rubrics enable educators to assess APN students' complex skills and clinical performance fairly, validly, and reliably, while helping students recognize their strengths and weaknesses to guide improvement.

Best Practices for Assessment and Evaluation

Best practice in APN assessment integrates theoretical principles with practical considerations. Effective systems ensure alignment between learning outcomes, teaching activities, and assessment methods. They maintain transparency, use rubrics consistently, and provide feedback that is timely, constructive and oriented towards growth. Fairness requires attentiveness to diversity, clarity around expectations, and opportunities for students to engage critically with assessment processes. Finally, continuous quality improvement through regular review of assessment tools, curricula, and criteria ensures that APN assessment remains current and professionally relevant.

Conclusion

Assessment in APN education is both a pedagogical process and a professional responsibility of educators. By combining theory, multiple methods, and formative learning, educators can prepare advanced practitioners who are clinically competent, reflective, evidence-informed, and ready for autonomous practice. The combined use of self-assessment, peer assessment, and instructor assessment, supported by rubrics and embedded within a fair and transparent framework, provides a robust structure capable of capturing the richness of APN competence.

References

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5*(1), 7–74.

Brookhart, S. (2013). *How to create and use rubrics for formative assessment and grading*.
ASCD. ISBN 9781416615071

Burgess, A., Roberts, C., Lane, A., Haq, I., Clark, T., Kalman, E., Pappalardo, N., & Bleasel, J. (2021). Peer review in team-based learning: Influencing feedback literacy. *BMC Medical Education, 21*, 426.

CALOHEE Project Group. (2023). *Measuring and comparing achievements of learning outcomes in higher education in Europe*. International Tuning Academy. https://www.calohee.eu

Chen, M. (2023). *Transition skills and strategies*. Enhancement Themes.

Dong, C., Low, S. C., & Yan, C. C. (2024). Workplace-based assessment in healthcare: Key concepts and best practices. *Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare, 33*.
10.1177/20101058241310618

Forsman, H., Jansson, I., Leksell, J., Lepp, M., Sundin Andersson, C., Engström, M., & Nilsson, J. (2020). Clusters of competence: Relationship between self-reported professional competence and achievement on a national examination among graduating nursing students. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 76*(1), 199–208.

Harden, R. M. (2016). Revisiting 'Assessment of clinical competence using an

objective structured clinical examination (OSCE).' *Medical Education, 50*(4), 376–379.

Lindberg, E., & Fridh, I. (2021). Postgraduate nursing students' experiences of simulation training and reflection in end-of-life communication. *Nursing & Health Sciences, 23*, 852–861.

Meretoja, R., Isoaho, H., & Leino-Kilpi, H. (2004). Nurse competence scale. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 47*(2), 124–133.

Messick, S. (1995). Validity of psychological assessment: Validation of inferences from persons' responses. *American Psychologist, 50*(9), 741–749.

Miller, G. E. (1990). The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. *Academic Medicine, 65*(9), S63–S67.

Nilsson, J., Engström, M., Florin, J., Gardulf, A., & Carlsson, M. (2018). A short version of the NPC scale. *Nurse Education Today, 71*, 233–239.

Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science, 18*(2), 119–144.

Schön, D. (1983). *The reflective practitioner*. Basic Books. ISBN 9780465068784

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511803932

Wu, Y., & Schunn, C. D. (2020). The Effects of Providing and Receiving Peer Feedback on Writing Performance and Learning of Secondary School Students. *American Educational Research Journal*, *58*(3), 492–526.

Young, M. R. (2018). Reflection fosters deep learning. *Journal of Instructional Pedagogies, 20*(1).